

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignin 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/041,921	01/07/2002	Ho-Jin Kweon	3364P009c	5586
8791	7590 09/10/2003			
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			EXAMINER	
	12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025		STRICKLAND, JONAS N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/041,921	KWEON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAII ING DATE of this communication ann	Jonas N. Strickland	1754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 A</u>	pril 2003 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 8	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/041,921 Page 2

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Detailed Action is in response to the amendment filed on 4/8/03 and the Information Disclosure Statement filed on 6/19/03 as Paper Nos. 7 and 8. Claims 1-27 are currently pending. Claims 1, 8, 12, 18, and 24 have been amended. The 35 U.S.C. 102(a) rejection has been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 8, 12, 18, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant recites wherein "the metal oxide being free from lithium". There is no written support or subject matter described in the specification, which directly states wherein the metal oxide is free from lithium.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (à) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/041,921 Page 3

Art Unit: 1754

5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li (WO 97/49136).

Li discloses an electrode material for rechargeable batteries and process for the preparation thereof. Li discloses a series of novel particulate stabilized lithiated compounds, which can be utilized as cathodic materials in lithium ion battery cells. Each particle of the material defines an inner lithiated metal oxide core, which acts as an intercalation cathode. An alkali metal ion conductor, which is preferably a lithium ion conductor coating, which surrounds the core to stabilize the latter and to improve the

Application/Control Number: 10/041,921

Art Unit: 1754

electrochemical properties of the material. However, it would have been obvious to use other alkali metal ion conductor coatings, such as comprising Na and K, which are alkali metals (col. 5, lines 6-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious for the metal oxide coating to be free of lithium. The alkali metal-transition metal oxides forming the core would comprise suitable compounds wherein the transition metals would be cobalt. nickel, manganese, chromium, vanadium, titanium or iron, or mixtures thereof. The most preferred core compositions would comprise lithium nickel dioxide or lithium nickel cobalt dioxide having the formula LiNi_{1-v}Co_vO₂, wherein y >0, or a mixture thereof (see p. 6, lines 1-7). The coating may be comprised of aluminum and cobalt (see p. 6, lines 8-18). The particle size of the lithiated metal oxide may be in a range from 1 to 50 microns (p. 12, lines 7-11). The active metal shell is in the order of 10 nm (see p. 14, lines 4-21). With respect to the process for preparing the positive active material, Li discloses wherein several coating technologies may be utilized whereby a lithium ion conductor is coated onto a lithium intercalation compound, such as forming a slurry (sol) and then carrying out a heat treatment step (p. 15, lines 9-21). Therefore, the teachings of Li anticipate the claims of the instant invention.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/041,921

Art Unit: 1754

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonas N. Strickland whose telephone number is 703-306-5692. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH, 7:30-5:00, off 1st Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 703-308-3837. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-0661.

Jonas N. Strickland

Application/Control Number: 10/041,921

Art Unit: 1754

September 3, 2003

Page 6

STANLEY S. SHUDBRIAN
SUPERVISORY PATERY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700